

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/030,974	HEILEMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623	

All Participants:

(1) Ganapathy Krishnan.

(2) Mr. Arthur Seifert.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Asked attorney of record if any response related to the cae was filed. Examiner was informed that the case is abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 JAMES O. WILSON
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)